arXiv:1701.00420v1 [math.CO] 2 Jan 2017

# PARTITION FUNCTIONS AND A GENERALIZED COLORING-FLOW DUALITY FOR EMBEDDED GRAPHS

Bart Litjens* and Bart Sevenster ‡

**Abstract.** Let $G$ be a finite group and $\chi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ a class function. Let $H = (V, E)$ be a directed graph with for each vertex a cyclic order of the edges incident to it. The cyclic orders give a collection $F$ of faces of $H$. Define the partition function $P_\chi(H) \coloneqq \sum_{\kappa:E\to G} \prod_{v\in V} \chi(\kappa(\delta(v)))$, where $\kappa(\delta(v))$ denotes the product of the $\kappa$-values of the edges incident with $v$ (in order), where the inverse is taken for any edge leaving $v$. Write $\chi = \sum_\lambda m_\lambda \chi_\lambda$, where the sum runs over irreducible representations $\lambda$ of $G$ with character $\chi_\lambda$ and with $m_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $\lambda$. If $H$ is connected, it is proved that $P_\chi(H) = |G|^{|E|} \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{|F|-|E|} m_\lambda^{|V|}$, where 1 is the identity element of $G$. Among the corollaries, a formula for the number of nowhere-identity $G$-flows on $H$ is derived, generalizing a result of Tutte. We show that these flows correspond bijectively to certain proper $G$-colorings of a covering graph of the dual graph of $H$. This correspondence generalizes coloring-flow duality for planar graphs.

**Key words:** embedded graph, coloring-flow duality, representation, partition function
**MSC 2010:** 05C10, 05C15, 05C21, 20C15, 57M10

## 1 Introduction

Let $H = (V, E)$ be a directed graph (not necessarily simple) with for each vertex $v$ a cyclic order $\phi_v$ of the edges incident to $v$. For a vertex $v$, let $d(v)$ denote the total degree of $v$. If $v$ is a vertex that is not isolated, we fix an edge $e_v^1$ adjacent to $v$ and let $e_v^{i+1}$ denote the edge incident to $v$ that in the cyclic order of $v$ comes after $e_v^i$, for $i = 1, ..., d(v) - 1$. For $v \in V$, let $\sigma_v : \{e_v^1, ..., e_v^{d(v)}\} \to \{\pm 1\}$ be the function that takes the value $-1$ on edges that are directed *outwards* at $v$ and 1 on the edges that are directed *inwards* at $v$. A *face* of $H$ is given by a walk such that for every edge $e$ in the walk, the next edge in the walk is the edge that is subsequent in the cyclic order at the end vertex of $e$.

Let $G$ be a finite group and $\chi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ a *class function* of $G$, i.e., a function that is constant on conjugacy classes of $G$. Define the *partition function* $P_\chi$ with respect to $\chi$ and evaluated at $H$ to be

$$P_\chi(H) \coloneqq \sum_{\kappa:E\to G} \prod_{v\in V} \chi(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})), \tag{1}$$

where $\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v}) \coloneqq \prod_{i=1}^{d(v)} \kappa(e_v^i)^{\sigma_v(e_v^i)}$. Note that the partition function is well-defined and does not depend on the direction of the edges. It is a standard fact from representation theory that one can write $\chi = \sum_\lambda m_\lambda \chi_\lambda$, where the sum runs over *irreducible representations* $\lambda$ of $G$ with *character* $\chi_\lambda$ and with $m_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for every $\lambda$.

In this paper we give a formula of the partition function (1) and study this formula in

*University of Amsterdam. Email: b.m.litjens@uva.nl
†University of Amsterdam. Email: blsevenster@gmail.com
‡Korteweg-De Vries Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement n° 339109.

some special cases. In Section 2.2 it will be proved that if $H$ is connected, it holds that

$$P_\chi(H) = |G|^{|E|} \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{|F|-|E|} m_\lambda^{|V|}, \tag{2}$$

where $F$ denotes the collection of faces of $H$ and 1 is the identity element of $G$. For every $\lambda$, the coefficient $\chi_\lambda(1)$ equals the dimension of the representation $\lambda$.

In Section 3.2 formula (2) will be studied for a particular class function and graph. In this way, another proof of a special case of the Generalized Frobenius Formula [11] is found. If $k$ and $g$ are nonnegative integers, the Generalized Frobenius Formula counts a certain number of homomorphisms from the *fundamental group* of a $k$-fold punctured closed orientable surface of genus $g$ into the given group $G$.

When $\chi$ equals the character of the *regular representation* of $G$, the partition function $P_\chi(H)$ counts the number of *$G$-flows* on $H$. Using inclusion-exclusion, a formula that counts the number of nowhere-identity $G$-flows on $H$ is derived in Section 3.4. Recently, this result was independently found in [3]. As the authors of this paper also mention, the case where $G$ is nonabelian seems not to have been discussed extensively yet.

In Section 4 a theorem of Heffter-Edmonds-Ringel is used to cellularly embed $H$ in a *closed orientable surface* $S$. It is proved that nowhere-identity $G$-flows on $H$ correspond bijectively to certain proper $G$-colorings of a (finite) covering graph of $H^*$, the dual graph of $H$ on $S$. This correspondence generalizes the coloring-flow duality for planar graphs, that was first proved by Tutte in [10]. Our generalization is different from the one discussed in [1], where only $\mathbb{R}$-valued flows are considered.

# 2 The partition function

## 2.1 Preliminaries on representation theory

In this section we review some of the representation theory of finite groups. More details and proofs of the results stated may be found in the first two chapters of [8]. Furthermore, some notation is introduced that is used in Sections 2.2 and 3.4.

Let $G$ be a finite group. A *representation* of $G$ is a homomorphism $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$, where $V$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, called the *representation space*, and $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is the group of invertible linear maps from $V$ to itself. Identify $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ with the group of invertible square matrices of size $\dim(V)$. In this paper all representations $\rho$ are assumed to be *unitary*, i.e., $\rho(g)$ is a unitary matrix for all $g \in G$.

If $\rho$ and $\psi$ are representations of $G$ with representation spaces $V$ and $W$ respectively, then a *$G$-equivariant map* from $V$ to $W$ is a linear map $\phi : V \to W$ such that $\phi(\rho(g) \cdot v) = \psi(g) \cdot \phi(v)$ for all $g \in G$ and $v \in V$. The representations $\rho$ and $\psi$ are *isomorphic* if there exists a bijective $G$-equivariant map from $V$ to $W$.

A representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is called *irreducible* if there is no nontrivial subspace $U$ of $V$ such that $\rho(g) \cdot U = U$ for all $g \in G$. Every representation is isomorphic to a *direct sum* of irreducible representations. The number of pairwise nonisomorphic irreducible representations of $G$ equals the number of conjugacy classes of $G$.

Associated to a representation $\rho$ is the function $\chi : G \to \mathbb{C}$, called the *character*, that is defined by $\chi(g) \coloneqq \mathrm{tr}(\rho(g))$, where tr stands for the trace. Two representations are isomorphic if and only if their characters agree. The character of a representation is a class function and the complex space of class functions is equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined by

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle \coloneqq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_1(g) \chi_2(g^{-1}),$$

for class functions $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$. The characters of the irreducible representations form an orthogonal basis with respect to this inner product. Of crucial importance is the orthogonality relation below. In the following, let $\delta_{ab}$ denote the *Kronecker delta function* that is 1 if $a = b$ or $a$ is equivalent to $b$ and zero elsewise.

**Theorem 2.1** (Schur orthogonality)**.** *Let $\rho$ and $\psi$ be irreducible (unitary) representations of $G$ with characters $\chi_\rho$ and $\chi_\psi$, then*

$$\sum_{g\in G} \rho(g^{-1})_{n,m} \cdot \psi(g)_{n',m'} = \delta_{nm'}\delta_{mn'}\delta_{\rho\psi}\frac{|G|}{\chi_\rho(1)},$$

*for all indices $n, m, n', m' = 1, ..., \chi_\rho(1)$.*

It can be proved that the dimension $\chi_\rho(1)$ divides $|G|$.

An important representation is the *regular representation* $\rho_{\text{reg}}$. Let $\mathbb{C}[G]$ be the *group algebra* of $G$. It is the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $G$. The group multiplication defines an algebra structure on $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Then the regular representation is defined by $\rho_{\text{reg}} : G \to \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}[G]), g \mapsto L_g$,where $L_g$ is the map that denotes left multiplication by $g$ in $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Its character $\chi_{\text{reg}}$ is $|G|$ on the identity element of $G$ and is zero elsewhere. It decomposes as $\sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)\chi_\lambda$, where the sum runs over all irreducible representations $\lambda$ of $G$.

## 2.2 A formula

In this section we derive a formula for the partition function that is defined in (1). It is a direct computation that relies mostly on Schur orthogonality and the observation that the faces determine the matrix coefficients that give nonzero contributions. The proof is essentially the same as in [3].

With notation and under the same assumptions as in the beginning of the introduction, we have that

**Theorem 2.2.** *If $H$ is connected, the partition function $P_\chi(H)$ equals*

$$P_\chi(H) = |G|^{|E|}\sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{|F|-|E|}m_\lambda^{|V|},$$

*where the sum runs over all irreducible representations $\lambda$ of $G$.*

*Proof.* Let $\Lambda \coloneqq \{\lambda \mid m_\lambda \neq 0\}$, then we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} P_\chi(H) &= \sum_{\kappa:E\to G}\prod_{v\in V}\chi(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa:E\to G}\prod_{v\in V}\sum_\lambda m_\lambda\chi_\lambda(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa:E\to G}\sum_{\mu:V\to\Lambda}\prod_{v\in V} m_{\mu(v)}\chi_{\mu(v)}(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For a fixed $\mu : V \to \Lambda$, we get a contribution

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\kappa:E\to G}\prod_{v\in V} m_{\mu(v)}\chi_{\mu(v)}(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})) &= \sum_{\kappa:E\to G}\prod_{v\in V} m_{\mu(v)}\chi_{\mu(v)}(\prod_{i=1}^{d(v)}\kappa(e_v^i)^{\sigma_v(e_v^i)}) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa:E\to G}\prod_{v\in V} m_{\mu(v)}\text{tr}(\prod_{i=1}^{d(v)}\mu(v)(\kappa(e_v^i)^{\sigma_v(e_v^i)})) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa:E\to G}\prod_{v\in V} m_{\mu(v)}\sum_{j_1,\dots,j_{d(v)}=1}^{\chi_{\mu(v)}(1)}\prod_{i=1}^{d(v)}(\mu(v)(\kappa(e_v^i)^{\sigma_v(e_v^i)}))_{j_i,j_{i+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where we used that the representation $\mu(v)$ is a homomorphism in the second equality, expanded the trace of a product of matrices in the third equality and where the subindices $i$ of $j_i$ are taken modulo $d(v)$ for all $i$.

Let $e \in E$ be an edge that is directed from $u$ to $v$. Then in expression (4) there is a term of the form

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^{\chi_{\mu(u)}(1)} \sum_{c,d=1}^{\chi_{\mu(v)}(1)} \sum_{\kappa:\{e\}\to G} (\mu(u)(\kappa(e)^{-1}))_{a,b} \cdot (\mu(v)(\kappa(e)))_{c,d}. \tag{5}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1 and by connectivity of $H$, we can assume that $\mu : V \to \Lambda$ is a constant function, as otherwise expression (5) is zero.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a face of $H$ with facial walk $W_{\mathcal{F}}$ consisting of the edges $e_1, ..., e_r$ (in this order) for some $r \geq 1$. We may assume that $e_i$ is directed from $v_i$ to $v_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, ..., r-1$ and that $e_r$ is directed from $v_r$ to $v_1$. Fix a function $\mu : V \to \Lambda$ such that $\mu(v) = \lambda$ for all $v \in V$. In expression (4) the following term occurs

$$\sum_{j_1,...,j_{4r}=1}^{\chi_\lambda(1)} \sum_{\kappa:\{e_1,...,e_r\}\to G} \prod_{i=1}^{r} (\lambda(\kappa(e_i)^{-1}))_{j_{4i},j_{4i+1}} (\lambda(\kappa(e_i)))_{j_{4i+2},j_{4i+3}}, \tag{6}$$

where the subindices $i$ of $j_i$ are taken modulo $4r$ for all $i$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a facial walk, we have that $j_{4i} = j_{4i-1}$ for all $i$. If the term (6) is nonzero, by Theorem 2.1 we must have that $j_{4i} = j_{4i+3}$ and $j_{4i+1} = j_{4i+2}$ for all $i$. Hence there is an index that is dominant, i.e., that occurs in every matrix coefficient in the above product.

Applying the above for every face yields the number of faces many indices that range between 1 and $\chi_\lambda(1)$ for every $\lambda$. Hence the faces determine the matrix coefficients that give nonzero contributions to the partition function. For every edge $e$, we need only to consider the matrix coefficient whose indices correspond to the faces on either side of $e$. Using expressions (3) and (4) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\chi(H) &= \sum_{\kappa:E\to G} \sum_{\mu:V\to\Lambda} \prod_{v\in V} m_{\mu(v)} \chi_{\mu(v)}(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\kappa:E\to G} \prod_{v\in V} m_\lambda \chi_\lambda(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda^{|V|} \chi_\lambda(1)^{|F|} \sum_{\kappa:E\to G} \prod_{e\in E} (\lambda(\kappa(e)^{-1}))_{1,1} (\lambda(\kappa(e)))_{1,1} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda^{|V|} \chi_\lambda(1)^{|F|} \prod_{e\in E} \sum_{\kappa:\{e\}\to G} (\lambda(\kappa(e)^{-1}))_{1,1} (\lambda(\kappa(e)))_{1,1} \\
&= |G|^{|E|} \sum_{\lambda} \chi_\lambda(1)^{|F|-|E|} m_\lambda^{|V|},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used that every choice of indices between 1 and $\chi_\lambda(1)$ gives the same contribution by Theorem 2.1 and in the last equality Theorem 2.1 is used again. □

# 3 Consequences of Theorem 2.2

## 3.1 Preliminaries on surfaces and the fundamental group

We assume some familiarity with the theory of surfaces and the fundamental group. A brief review is given in this section. For more information on surfaces and the fundamental group we refer to [4] and [5] respectively.

A *surface* is a connected topological space that is Hausdorff and such that every point has an open neighborhood that is homeomorphic to an open disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$. A *closed surface* is a surface that is compact. If a surface admits a triangulation by oriented triangles such that for any edge of a triangle on the surface the orientations of the neighboring triangles are opposite, it is called *orientable*. A surface is *oriented* if such a triangulation is given. A closed orientable surface is homeomorphic to the sphere with $g$ handles attached to it, for some $g \geq 0$ which is called the *genus*.

Let $X$ be a topological space. A *loop* is a continuous function $f$ from the unit interval $[0,1]$ in $\mathbb{R}$ to $X$ such that $f(0) = f(1)$. If $x \in X$, then a loop $f$ is *based at* $x$ if $f(0) = f(1) = x$. Two loops $f_0$ and $f_1$ are said to be *homotopic* if there exists a continuous function $F : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$ such that $F(t,0) = f_0$ and $F(t,1) = f_1$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Fix $x \in X$. Being homotopic defines an equivalence relation on the set of loops based at $x$. The set of equivalence classes forms a group with respect to concatenation of loops, called the *fundamental group of* X (based at $x$) and denoted by $\pi_1(X,x)$. If $X$ is path-connected then $\pi_1(X,x)$ and $\pi_1(X,y)$ are isomorphic for $y \in X$, which justifies the notation $\pi_1(X)$.

### 3.2 Counting homomorphisms

In this section a first consequence of Theorem 2.2 is presented. Fix a $g \geq 0$ and let $S_g$ be the closed orientable surface of genus $g$. Fix a point $x \in S_g$ and remove it from $S_g$. The remaining surface is denoted $S_{g,1}$ and is called the *punctured surface of genus g*. Its fundamental group can be described by a set of generators subject to one relation

$$\pi_1(S_{g,1}) = \langle a_1, ..., a_g, b_1, ..., b_g, c \mid \prod_{i=1}^{g} [a_i, b_i] = c^{-1} \rangle, \tag{7}$$

where $[x,y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ denotes the commutator of $x$ and $y$.

Let $G$ be a finite group with identity element 1 and let $C$ be a conjugacy class of $G$. We define

$$A_g(G,C) := |\{(x_1, ..., x_g, y_1, ..., y_g, z) \in G^{2g} \times C \mid \prod_{i=1}^{g} [x_i, y_i] = z^{-1}\}|.$$

Then we have that

$$A_g(G,C) = |\{\phi : \pi_1(S_{g,1}) \to G \mid \phi(c) \in C\}|,$$

where the $\phi$ that are counted are assumed to be group homomorphisms and $c$ is one of the generators in the presentation (7) of $\pi_1(S_{g,1})$.

The parameter $A_g(G,C)$ has a geometric interpretation in terms of coverings of $S_{g,1}$, see [11]. The following theorem, that is a special case of the Generalized Frobenius Formula [11], is derived from Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 3.1.** *With notation as above, it holds that*

$$A_g(G,C) = |G|^{2g-1}|C| \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\chi_\lambda(C)}{\chi_\lambda(1)^{2g-1}},$$

*where the sum runs over all irreducible $\lambda$ and where $\chi_\lambda(C) = \chi_\lambda(h)$ for an $h \in C$.*

*Proof.* Consider the following function

$$f_C : G \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f_C(h) = \begin{cases} \frac{|G|}{|C|} \text{ if } h \in C \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $f_C$ is a class function. Write $f_C = \sum_\lambda m_\lambda \chi_\lambda$. Then

$$m_\lambda = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_C(g)\chi_\lambda(g^{-1}) = \chi_\lambda(C^{-1}),$$

where $C^{-1}$ is the conjugacy class consisting of the inverse elements of $C$. Let $H$ be a graph with one vertex and $2g$ loops attached to it in such a way that for odd $i$, the $i$-th loop only intersects the $(i+1)$-th loop (once). This graph can be embedded on $S_g$. Theorem 2.2 gives

$$P_{f_C}(H) = |G|^{2g} \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{1-2g}\chi_\lambda(C^{-1}). \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, by definition of the partition function we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{f_C}(H) =& \frac{|G|}{|C|} \cdot |\{(x_1, ..., x_g, y_1, ..., y_g) \in G^{2g} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{g} [x_i, y_i] \in C\}| \\ =& \frac{|G|}{|C|} \cdot |\{(x_1, ..., x_g, y_1, ..., y_g, z) \in G^{2g} \times C^{-1} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{g} [x_i, y_i] = z^{-1}\}| \\ =& \frac{|G|}{|C|} A_g(G, C^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Setting $C \coloneqq C^{-1}$ and comparing equations (8) and (9) yields the theorem. □

### 3.3 Preliminaries on graph embeddings

In this section we give some preliminaries on graph embeddings. These preliminaries and the notation are used in Sections 3.4 and 4.2. More information concerning graph embeddings may be found in [4].

Let $H$ be a (undirected) graph and $S$ a closed orientable surface. An *embedding* of $H$ into $S$, which is denoted by a map $i : H \to S$, is an assignment of points and simple arcs in $S$ to vertices and edges in $H$ respectively, satisfying the following conditions: distinct vertices are associated with distinct points. A simple arc representing an edge has as its endpoints the points assigned to the vertices of the corresponding edge, and no other points on the arc. Lastly, the interiors of distinct simple arcs should be disjoint.

Let $i : H \to S$ be an embedding. Then it is called a *cellular* (or 2-cell) embedding if all connected components of $S \setminus i(H)$ are homeomorphic to an open disc. There is the following theorem, that is often attributed to Edmonds [2], Heffter [6] and Ringel [7].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $i : H \to S$ be a cellular embedding. If $S$ is oriented then $i$ induces for every vertex $v$ of $H$ a cyclic order of the edges incident to $v$. Conversely, if we are given a connected graph $H$ then giving a cyclic order for every vertex defines a cellular embedding of $H$ in a closed oriented surface.*

Let $H$ be a connected graph with a cyclic order for every vertex. We define the number $g(H)$ to be the genus of the closed orientable surface in which $H$ cellularly embeds by Theorem 3.2. This embedding gives a collection $F$ of faces of $H$. It holds that

$$|V| - |E| + |F| = 2 - 2g(H). \tag{10}$$

The number $|V| - |E| + |F|$ is called the *Euler characteristic* of $H$.

Given a cellular embedding $i$ of $H$ into a closed orientable surface $S$, the *dual* (*graph*) $H^*$ of $H$ in $S$ can be constructed. Its vertices correspond to faces of $H$ and there is an edge between two vertices in $H^*$ if the corresponding faces in $H$ share an edge. The embedding $i$ gives rise to a map $i^*$ that embeds $H^*$ in $S$, called the *dual embedding*.

### 3.4 Counting nowhere-identity flows

Let $G$ be a finite group with identity element 1. Let $H = (V, E)$ be a connected directed graph with for each vertex $v$ a cyclic order $\phi_v$ of the edges incident to $v$. For each $v \in V$, let $e_v^1$ be an edge incident to $v$ and let $e_v^{i+1}$ be the edge that comes after $e_v^i$ in the cyclic order, for $i = 1, ..., d(v)-1$, where $d(v)$ is the total degree of $v$. A *G-flow* on $H$ is a function $\psi : E \to G$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{d(v)} \psi(e_v^i)^{\sigma_v(e_v^i)} = 1$ (in $G$) for all $v \in V$. A $G$-flow that never evaluates to the identity is called a *nowhere-identity G-flow*.

If $G$ is abelian, Tutte proved in [10] that the number of nowhere-zero $G$-flows depends only on the size of $G$. In this section we generalize Tutte's result by deriving a formula that counts the number of nowhere-identity $G$-flows, where $G$ is any finite group. This number depends only on the multiset consisting of the dimensions of the irreducible representations of $G$, a result that recently was found independently by the authors of [3]. We start with enumerating $G$-flows on $H$.

Let $\chi_{\text{reg}}$ be the character of the regular representation of $G$. With notation as in the beginning of the introduction, the partition function is computed to be

$$P_{\chi_{\text{reg}}}(H) = \sum_{\kappa: E \to G} \prod_{v \in V} \chi_{\text{reg}}(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})) = |G|^{|V|} \cdot |\{\kappa : E \to G \mid \kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v}) = 1 \text{ for all } v\}|. \tag{11}$$

The condition that $\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v}) = 1$ for all $v$, is the conditon for $\kappa$ to be a $G$-flow on $H$. Hence $P_{\chi_{\text{reg}}}(H)$ equals $|G|^{|V|}$ times the number of $G$-flows on $H$, which is denoted by $N_G(H)$. On the other hand, as $m_\lambda = \chi_\lambda(1)$ for the character of the regular representation, Theorem 2.2 reads

$$P_{\chi_{\text{reg}}}(H) = |G|^{|E|} \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{|V|-|E|+|F|} = |G|^{|E|} \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{2-2g(H)}, \tag{12}$$

where equation (10) is used in the second equality. Putting together equations (11) and (12) yields

$$N_G(H) = |G|^{|E|-|V|} \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{2-2g(H)},$$

for the number of $G$-flows on $H$.

Next we consider nowhere-identity flows on $H$ with values in $G$. If $A \subset E$ is a subset of the edge set of $H$, let $(V, A)$ denote the subgraph of $H$ where the edges in $E \setminus A$ are removed. Let $\chi$ be a class function of $G$. Write

$$P_\chi(H) = \sum_{B \subset E} \widetilde{P}_\chi((V, E \setminus B)),$$

where

$$\widetilde{P}_\chi(H) = \sum_{\kappa: E \to G \setminus \{1\}} \prod_{v \in V} \chi(\kappa(\phi_v^{\sigma_v})).$$

Inclusion-exclusion gives

$$\widetilde{P}_\chi(H) = \sum_{B \subset E} (-1)^{|E \setminus B|} P_\chi((V, B)) = \sum_{B \subset E} (-1)^{|E \setminus B|} \prod_C P_\chi(C), \tag{13}$$

where the product in the last expression runs over components $C$ of the subgraph $(V, B)$ of $H$. In the second equality we used that the partition function is multiplicative with respect to the disjoint union of graphs. Let $V_C, E_C$ and $F_C$ denote the vertex set, edge set and collection of faces of $C$ respectively. Then equation (13) together with Theorem 2.2 gives

$$\widetilde{P}_\chi(H) = \sum_{B \subset E} (-1)^{|E \setminus B|} |G|^{|B|} \prod_C \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{|F_C|-|E_C|} m_\lambda^{|V_C|}, \tag{14}$$

where we used that $\prod_C |G|^{|E_C|} = |G|^{\sum_C |E_C|} = |G|^{|B|}$.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The number $\widetilde{N}_G(H)$ of nowhere-identity $G$-flows on $H$ is given by*

$$\widetilde{N}_G(H) = \sum_{B \subset E} (-1)^{|E \setminus B|} |G|^{|B|-|V|} \prod_C \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^{2-2g(C)},$$

*where the product runs over all components $C$ of the subgraph $(V, B)$ of $H$ and where $2-2g(C)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of $C$.*

*Proof.* Let $\chi_{\text{reg}}$ be the character of the regular representation of $G$. The observation that

$$\widetilde{P}_{\chi_{\text{reg}}}(H) = |G|^{|V|} \cdot \widetilde{N}_G(H)$$

combined with equation (14) for $\chi_{\text{reg}}$ yields the theorem. □

**Corollary 3.4.** *The number $\widetilde{N}_G(H)$ of nowhere-identity $G$-flows on $H$ depends only on $H$ and the multiset consisting of dimensions of irreducible representations of $G$.*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Theorem 3.3. □

The dihedral group of order 8 and the quaternion group are the smallest example of two nonisomorphic groups whose multisets consisting of dimensions of irreducible representations coincide. Note that Corollary 3.4 incorporates the dependence on the size of the group, as $|G| = \sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(1)^2$, where the sum runs over all irreducible representations $\lambda$ of $G$. If $G$ is abelian then $\chi_\lambda(1) = 1$ for every $\lambda$, of which there are $|G|$ many. Hence in this case Theorem 3.3 reduces to

$$\widetilde{N}_G(H) = \sum_{B \subset E} (-1)^{|E \setminus B|} |G|^{|B|-|V|+c(B)},$$

where $c(B)$ denotes the number of connected components of the subgraph $(V, B)$ of $H$.

# 4 Coloring-flow duality for embedded graphs

## 4.1 Preliminaries on coverings

In this section some preliminaries on coverings are given that, together with the preliminaries on graph embeddings in Section 3.3, are used to prove the duality between flows and colorings for embedded graphs in Section 4.2. For more background information the reader is referred to [4]. Details concerning the universal covering graph may be found in [9]. Moreover, this section serves as a setup of notation that is used in Section 4.2.

Let $K$ and $H$ be graphs. A *homomorphism* between $K$ and $H$ is a mapping from the vertex set of $K$ to the vertex set of $H$ such that if two vertices are adjacent in $K$, their images are adjacent in $H$. Let $s : K \to H$ be a homomorphism. Then $s$ is said to be a *covering* (of $H$) if it is surjective, and the (graph theoretic) neighborhood of each vertex of $K$ is in bijection with the (graph theoretic) neighborhood of its image in $H$ under $s$. If there is a loop at a vertex, then the vertex itself is in its own neighborhood.

For every connected graph $H$ that is not a tree there exists a covering $t : T \to H$ with the following properties: $T$ is an infinite tree and if $r : K \to H$ is another covering of $H$ with $K$ connected, then there exists a covering $s : T \to K$ such that $r \circ s = t$. The graph $T$ is called the *universal covering graph* of $H$ and is unique up to isomorphism. If $H$ is a tree, its universal covering graph is defined to be $H$ itself.

After choosing a vertex $v$ of $H$, the vertices of $T$ can be described as non-backtracking walks in $H$ starting at $v$. A vertex $w$ in $T$ is adjacent to the vertices that correspond to simple extensions of the walk in $H$ that is associated with $w$. The covering $t : T \to H$ then is given by sending a vertex in $T$ to the endpoint of the walk in $H$ it corresponds to.

## 4.2 Coloring-flow duality

In this section we prove that flows on graphs that are embedded on a closed orientable surface correspond bijectively to specific coverings of the dual of that graph. By doing so, we generalize Tutte's coloring-flow duality for planar graphs. We use the notation and terminology as introduced in Sections 3.3 and 4.1. First we need to define the concept of a local and global tension.

As in the previous sections, let $G$ be a finite group with identity element 1 and let $H = (V, E)$ be a connected directed graph with a cyclic order at each vertex. If $W = (e_1, ..., e_n)$ is a *walk* in $H$ for some $n \geq 1$, then for a map $\psi : E \to G$ we define the *height* of $W$ as $h_\psi(W) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \psi(e_i)^{\sigma_W(e_i)}$, where $\sigma_W(e_i) = +1$ if $e_i$ is traversed in the direction of $e_i$ and $-1$ else. A *local $G$-tension* on $H$ is a function $\psi : E \to G$, such that $h_\psi(W) = 1$ for every facial walk $W$. A *global $G$-tension* is a function $\psi : E \to G$ such that $h_\psi(W) = 1$ for every closed walk $W$.

From now on, a homomorphism between connected directed graphs with a cyclic order at each of their vertices, is assumed to respect the directions of the edges and the cyclic orders. Given such a homomorphism $s : K \to H$ and any $\psi : E(H) \to G$, the map $\psi$ lifts to a map $\psi_s : E(K) \to G$, given by $\psi_s(e) \coloneqq \psi(s(e))$ for every $e \in E(K)$.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $s : K \to H$ be a covering. If $\psi$ is a local $G$-tension on $H$, then $\psi_s$ is a local $G$-tension on $K$.*

*Proof.* Let $W$ be a walk around a face $\mathcal{F}$ of $K$. Because $s$ respects the local ordering, we know that $W$ maps to a face $s(\mathcal{F})$ of $H$, where $s(W)$ might go around $s(\mathcal{F})$ several times, say $f$ times. Then we have that $h_{\psi_s}(W) = h_\psi(s(W)) = h_\psi(s(\mathcal{F}))^f = 1$, where the last equality follows from the fact that $\psi$ is a local $G$-tension. □

If $\psi$ is a local $G$-tension on $H$ and $s : K \to H$ a covering, then we say that $s$ is a *global covering with respect to* $\psi$ if $\psi_s$ is a global $G$-tension on $K$. Let $\psi$ be a local $G$-tension on $H$ and let $T$ be the universal covering graph of $H$. Fixing a vertex $v \in V(H)$, we can bijectively associate to a vertex of $T$ a non-backtracking walk $W$ in $H$ starting in $v$. Now label that vertex with $(e(W), h_\psi(W))$, where $e(W)$ denotes the end vertex of $W$.

Let $H_\psi \coloneqq T/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the equivalence relation on the vertices of $T$ given by the labeling. We do not allow multiple edges in $H_\psi$, but we do allow loops. If a vertex of $T$ has label $(e(W), h_\psi(W))$, its image under the canonical map $T \to H_\psi$ is denoted by $[(e(W), h_\psi(W))]$.

**Lemma 4.2.** *With notation as above, let $s : H_\psi \to H$ be given by $[(e(W), h_\psi(W))] \to e(W)$. Then $s$ is a global covering with respect to $\psi$.*

*Proof.* The map $s$ is clearly well-defined and surjective. We show that it is a covering. For a vertex of $H_\psi$ labeled by $[(e(W), h_\psi(W))]$, we can extend the walk $W$ in $H$ exactly to the neighbors of $e(W)$. If these neighbors are distinct from $e(W)$, then they correspond to different vertices in $H_\psi$. By our definition of (graph theoretic) neighborhood (see Section 4.1), this also works in case there is a loop at $s(e(W))$. This shows that the neighborhood of $v$ with label $[(e(W), h_\psi(W))]$ in $H_\psi$ is equal to that of $e(W)$ in $H$.

To show that $s$ is a global covering, let $W$ be a closed walk in $H_\psi$ starting in $[(v, 1)]$. If $e(W) = [(e(s(W)), h_\psi(s(W))]$, then we have that $h_{\psi_s}(W) = h_\psi(s(W))$. Hence for any closed walk starting in $[(v, 1)]$ it holds that $h_{\psi_s}(W) = 1$.

If $W$ is a closed walk starting in a vertex $[(u, h)]$, with $u \in V(H)$ and $h \in G$, different from $[(v, 1)]$, we can conjugate it with a path $P$ from $[(u, h)]$ to $[(v, 1)]$. It is now easy to see that this walk also has $h_{\psi_s}(W) = 1$, as $1 = h_{\psi_s}(PWP^{-1}) = h_{\psi_s}(P)h_{\psi_s}(W)h_{\psi_s}(P)^{-1}$. □

We use Theorem 3.2 to cellularly embed $H$ in the closed orientable surface $S_{g(H)}$ of genus $g(H)$ and write $v$ for the point in $S_{g(H)}$ associated with $v$ in $H$, the vertex that we fixed. Let $\pi_1(S_{g(H)}, v)$ be the fundamental group of $S_{g(H)}$ based at $v$. A local $G$-tension $\psi$ on $H$ gives rise to a group homomorphism

$$f_\psi : \pi_1(S_{g(H)}, v) \to G, \tag{15}$$

by sending a closed walk $W$ starting in $v$ to $h_\psi(W)$.

**Proposition 4.3.** *It holds that $g(H_\psi) = k \cdot (g(H) - 1) + 1$, where $H_\psi$ is the graph of Lemma 4.2 and where $k$ is the size of the image of $f_\psi$ in (15).*

*Proof.* Let $s$ be the global covering from Lemma 4.2 and let $k$ be the size of the image of the homomorphism $f_\psi$ from (15). By construction of $H_\psi = (V(H_\psi), E(H_\psi))$, we have that $|s^{-1}(u)| = k$ for every vertex $u$ of $H = (V(H), E(H))$. As $s$ is a covering, it holds that $|E(H_\psi)| = k \cdot |E(H)|$. Moreover, by construction of $H_\psi$ and since $s$ respects the cyclic order, it is true that $|F(H_\psi)| = k \cdot |F(H)|$, where $F(H_\psi)$ and $F(H)$ denote the collection of faces of $H_\psi$ and $H$ respectively.

By equation (10) it follows that

$$2-2g(H_\psi) = |V(H_\psi)|-|E(H_\psi)|+|F(H_\psi)| = k\cdot(|V(H)|-|E(H)|+|F(H)|) = k\cdot(2-2g(H)).$$

By solving for $g(H_\psi)$, the proposition follows. □

We further remark that $H_\psi$ can be interpreted as the *derived graph* of a *voltage graph*, see [4].

Let $\psi$ be a local $G$-tension on $H$. A global covering $q : K \to H$ with respect to $\psi$ is called *minimal* if for every global covering $r : K' \to H$ with respect to $\psi$, there is a covering $p : K' \to K$ such that $p \circ q = r$.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let $\psi$ be a local $G$-tension on $H$. The global covering $s : H_\psi \to H$ from Lemma 4.2 is minimal.*

*Proof.* Let $W$ be a walk in $K$ starting in a vertex $u$, such that $t(u) = v$. We can label the end vertex of $W$ in $K$ with $(e(t(W)), h_\psi(t(W)))$ (this is well-defined because of Lemma 4.2). Now let $r : K \to H_\psi$ be such that it maps a vertex labeled $(e(t(W)), h_\psi(t(W)))$ to $[(e(t(W)), h_\psi(t(W)))]$. The map $r$ is surjective, because we can lift any walk in $H$ to a walk in $K$ with the same height, so any labeling giving a vertex $[(e(W), h_\psi(W))]$ in $H_\psi$, can be obtained as a labeling in $K$. Because the labeling is well-defined, we are done. □

Let $H^*$ denote the dual of $H$ in $S$. Any map $\psi$ defined on the edges of $H$ naturally induces a map $\psi^*$ on the edges of $H^*$. The following lemma is well-known, yet a proof is given for completeness.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let $\psi$ be a $G$-flow on $H$, then $\psi^*$ is a local $G$-tension on $H^*$.*

*Proof.* By the flow condition, we have that if $W$ is a walk around a face in $H^*$, then $h_{\psi^*}(W) = 1$. □

Let $s$ be a covering from $K$ to $H$. Let $\psi$ be a global $G$-tension on $K$, such that $\psi(e_1) = \psi(e_2)$, for all $e_1, e_2 \in s^{-1}(e)$, for every $e \in E(H)$. If the map $\psi \circ s^{-1}$ on edges of $H$ (which is well-defined now) is a local $G$-tension, then $\psi$ is called a *global covering tension* (with respect to $s$). We call a global covering tension with respect to $s$ *minimal* if $s$ is a minimal global covering.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the map that sends a pair $(H, \psi)$, a directed graph $H$ with a cyclic ordering at each vertex and a $G$-flow $\psi$ on this graph, to $(H^*_{\psi^*}, \psi^* \circ s)$, where $\psi^*$ is the dual of $\psi$ and $s : H^*_{\psi^*} \to H^*$ is the covering from Lemma 4.2 of the dual $H^*$ of $H$.

**Theorem 4.6.** *The map $\mathcal{D}$ gives a duality between flows and minimal global covering tensions.*

*Proof.* Let $\psi$ be a $G$-flow on a graph $H$ embedded on a closed orientable surface $S$. By Lemma 4.5 the map $\psi^*$ is a local $G$-tension on $H^*$, the dual of $H$ on $S$. By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.4 we know that the global covering tension associated to $\psi$ is a minimal global covering. Conversely, we clearly have that a minimal global covering gives a local $G$-tension on $H^*$ and hence a $G$-flow on $H$. □

**Lemma 4.7.** *A nowhere-identity global $G$-tension on $H$ gives a proper $G$-coloring.*

*Proof.* Let $\psi$ be a global $G$-tension on $H$, and let $v$ be a vertex of $H$. Then for a vertex $u$ of $H$, there is a path $W_u$ from $v$ to $u$. Let $\kappa : V(H) \to G$ be given by $\kappa(u) = h_\psi(W_u)$. Because $\psi$ is a global $G$-tension, this is independent of the choice of $W_u$ and hence gives a well-defined function. Furthermore, because $\psi$ is nowhere identity, we have that $\kappa$ is a proper $G$-coloring. □

For planar graphs every closed walk is homotopic to a facial walk. Hence, local tensions are actually global tensions, and Theorem 4.6 combined with Lemma 4.7 then gives coloring-flow duality. For non-planar graphs, we see that a local tension $\psi$ on $H$ gives rise to a global tension on $H_\psi$ and hence to a coloring on $H_\psi$.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank Sven Polak, Guus Regts and Lex Schrijver for useful discussions.

# References

[1] M.J. DeVos, L. Goddyn, B. Mohar, D. Vertigan and X. Zhu, Coloring-flow duality of embedded graphs, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 357 No. 10 (2005), 3993–4016.

[2] J. Edmonds, A combinatorial representation of polyhedral surfaces, *Notices of the American Mathematical Society* 7 (1960).

[3] A. Goodall, T. Krajewski, G. Regts and L. Vena, A Tutte polynomial for maps, 2016, ArXiv `https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.04486v1.pdf`

[4] J.L. Gross, T.W. Tucker, *Topological Graph Theory*, Courier Corporation, 1987.

[5] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[6] L. Heffter, Über das Problem der Nachbargebiete, *Mathematische Annalen* 38(4) (1891), 477–508.

[7] G. Ringel, Das Geschlecht des vollständigen paaren Graphen, *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematische Seminar der Universität Hamburg* 28 No. 3 (1965), 139–150.

[8] J.-P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42, Springer, New York, 1977.

[9] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer, 1980.

[10] W.T. Tutte, A contribution to the theory of chromatic polynomials, *Canad. J. Math.* (1954), 80–91.

[11] D. Zagier, *Applications of the representation theory of finite groups*, Appendix to *Graphs and Surfaces and Their Applications* by S.K. Landau and A.K. Zvonkin, Enclyclopedia Math. Sci., Vol. 141, Springer, New York, 2013.